

# Chapter 10. The Second Variation

Weishi Liu  
University of Kansas

# OUTLINE

Previously, we have focused on **necessary** conditions for extrema of various functionals some with isoperimetric constraints. The main results include the following equivalent statements:

- The 1st variation of the functional at an extremal in any direction vanishes.
- The Euler-Lagrange system of differential equations is satisfied.

In this chapter, we will discuss

Conditions about whether an extremal is a (local) minimum or maximum, which is the counterpart of 2nd Derivative Test in Calculus.

## §10.1. A quick review of 2nd Derivative Test from Calculus

Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be smooth where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $n = 2$  (simple but relevant).

Given  $X = (x_1, x_2)$ ,  $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2)$ , Taylor's Theorem implies, for  $\varepsilon$  near zero,

$$\begin{aligned} f(X + \varepsilon\eta) &= f(X) + \varepsilon(f_{x_1}(X)\eta_1 + f_{x_2}(X)\eta_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!}(f_{x_1x_1}(X)\eta_1^2 + 2f_{x_1x_2}(X)\eta_1\eta_2 + f_{x_2x_2}(X)\eta_2^2) + O(\varepsilon^3) \\ &= f(X) + \varepsilon\nabla f(X) \cdot \eta + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!}\eta H(X)\eta^T + O(\varepsilon^3), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\nabla f = (f_{x_1}, f_{x_2})$  is the gradient vector of  $f$  and

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} f_{x_1x_1} & f_{x_1x_2} \\ f_{x_1x_2} & f_{x_2x_2} \end{pmatrix}$$

is the (symmetric) Hessian matrix of  $f$ .

If  $X = (x_1, x_2)$  is a critical point of  $f$ , then  $\nabla f(X) = 0$ , and hence,

$$f(X + \varepsilon\eta) - f(X) = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!} \eta H(X) \eta^T + O(\varepsilon^3) = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!} (\eta H(X) \eta^T + O(\varepsilon)) .$$

In particular, if  $f(X)$  is a local **minimum**, then  $\eta H(X) \eta^T \geq 0$  for  $\eta \neq 0$ .

In this case, the condition that  $\eta H(X) \eta^T \geq 0$  for  $\eta \neq 0$  is equivalent to

$$\Delta = f_{x_1 x_1}(X) f_{x_2 x_2}(X) - f_{x_1 x_2}^2(X) \geq 0 \text{ and } f_{x_1 x_1}(X) \geq 0.$$

This reminds one the 2D test from Calculus.

It is natural to expect something “similar” for Calculus of Variations.

## §10.2. The 2nd variation of a functional at an extremal

### 1. Derivation of the 2nd variation.

Consider the variational problem

$$J(y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} f(x, y, y') dx$$

with fixed boundary conditions  $y(x_0) = y_0$  and  $y(x_1) = y_1$ .

Suppose  $y = y(x)$  is an extremal of  $J$  in  $S$ , i.e., for all  $\eta$  with  $\eta(x_0) = \eta(x_1) = 0$ ,

$$\delta J(\eta, y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} (\eta f_y + \eta' f_{y'}) dx = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \eta \left( f_y - \frac{d}{dx} f_{y'} \right) dx = 0.$$

Question: Is the extremal  $y = y(x)$  a local minimum or maximum?

We need to expand  $J(y + \varepsilon \eta)$  with higher order terms in  $\varepsilon$ .

Step 1. Expansion of the integrand  $f(x; y + \varepsilon\eta, y' + \varepsilon\eta')$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y + \varepsilon\eta, y' + \varepsilon\eta') &= f(x, y, y') + \varepsilon(f_y\eta + f_{y'}\eta') \\ &\quad + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!}(f_{yy}\eta^2 + 2f_{yy'}\eta\eta' + f_{y'y'}\eta'^2) + O(\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Expansion  $J(y + \varepsilon\eta)$ :

Integrate the expansion in Step 1 over  $[x_0, x_1]$  to get

$$J(y + \varepsilon\eta) = J(y) + \varepsilon\delta J(\eta, y) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!}\delta^2 J(\eta, y) + O(\varepsilon^3),$$

where

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} (f_{yy}\eta^2 + 2f_{yy'}\eta\eta' + f_{y'y'}\eta'^2) dx$$

is the second variation of  $J$  at  $y = y(x)$  along the direction of  $\eta = \eta(x)$ .

2. A necessary condition for (local) minimum/maximum

Since  $y = y(x)$  is an extremal of  $J$ , one has  $\delta J(\eta, y) = 0$ , and hence,

$$J(y + \varepsilon\eta) - J(y) = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!} \delta^2 J(\eta, y) + O(\varepsilon^3) = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!} (\delta^2 J(\eta, y) + O(\varepsilon)) .$$

Immediately, we have

Theorem. (i) If the extremal  $y = y(x)$  of  $J$  is a local minimum, then

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \eta \in H.$$

(ii) Similarly, a necessary condition for  $y = y(x)$  to be a local maximum is that

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } \eta \in H.$$

(iii) If  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  changes signs, then  $J$  cannot have local minima or maxima.

Example. Consider the functional

$$J(y) = \int_0^l (y'^2 - y^2) dx$$

for some fixed  $l > 0$  with  $y(0) = y(l) = 0$ .

A director calculation gives

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) = \int_0^l (\eta'^2 - \eta^2) dx.$$

It turns out, with  $\eta(0) = \eta(l) = 0$ , one always

$$\int_0^l \eta^2 dx \leq \frac{l^2}{\pi^2} \int_0^l \eta'^2 dx \quad \text{-- the so-called Poincare inequality,}$$

and hence,

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq \left(1 - \frac{l^2}{\pi^2}\right) \int_0^l \eta'^2 dx.$$



Case 1.  $l \leq \pi$ . In this case,  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq 0$  for any  $\eta$  and any  $y$ . Therefore, the necessary condition for a minimum is met for any extremal  $y = y(x)$  (so  $J$  cannot have a local maximum). In fact, we will show later on that any extremal  $y = y(x)$  of  $J$  is a local minimum.

Case 2.  $l > \pi$ . For an integer  $n$ , set  $\eta_n(x) = \sin \frac{n\pi x}{l}$ . Then,  $\eta_n(0) = \eta_n(l) = 0$ . Using double angle formula, one evaluates that

$$\delta^2 J(\eta_n, y) = \int_0^l (\eta_n'^2 - \eta_n^2) dx = \int_0^l \left( \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{l^2} \cos^2 \frac{n\pi x}{l} - \sin^2 \frac{n\pi x}{l} \right) dx = \frac{1}{2} \frac{n^2 \pi^2 - l^2}{l^2}.$$

Thus, for  $n = 1$ ,  $\delta^2 J(\eta_1, y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi^2 - l^2}{l^2} < 0$ ; but for any  $n$  with  $n^2 \pi^2 > l^2$ ,  $\delta^2 J(\eta_n, y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi^2 - l^2}{l^2} > 0$ . Therefore, any extremal  $y = y(x)$  of  $J$  cannot be a local minimum or local maximum.

To this end, recall the 2nd variation of  $J$  at  $y$  along the direction  $\eta$  is

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} (f_{yy}\eta^2 + 2f_{yy'}\eta\eta' + f_{y'y'}\eta'^2) dx.$$

It is, in general, not practical to decide the **sign** of  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$ .

In the remaining sections, we will discuss

- simpler but **deeper** necessary conditions which also motivate sufficient conditions (§10.3 and §10.4); and then,
- a **sufficient** condition for (local) minima/maxima (§10.5 and §10.6).

### §10.3. The Legendre necessary condition

In this section, for a known extremal  $y$  of  $J$ , we will discuss the so-called Legendre necessary conditions for a local minimum and a local maximum.

Need a closer examination of the condition in terms of the 2nd variation.

Step 1. Rewrite integrand of  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  using by-parts: Note that  $(\eta^2)' = 2\eta\eta'$  and  $\eta(x_0) = \eta(x_1) = 0$ . So

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_1} 2f_{yy'}\eta\eta' dx = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} (\eta^2)' f_{yy'} dx = - \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \eta^2 \frac{d}{dx} f_{yy'} dx,$$

and hence,

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left[ \eta^2 \left( f_{yy} - \frac{d}{dx} f_{yy'} \right) + \eta'^2 f_{y'y'} \right] dx.$$

“Too bad” that one could not rewrite the integral of  $\eta'^2 f_{y'y'}$  to convert  $\eta'^2$  to something with  $\eta^2$  alike. (You may try though.)

Step 2. Effects of sign of  $f_{y'y'}$  on that of  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  for ALL  $\eta$ :

For definiteness, we now assume  $y = y(x)$  is a local minimum so that

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left[ \eta^2 \left( f_{yy} - \frac{d}{dx} f_{yy'} \right) + \eta'^2 f_{y'y'} \right] dx \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \eta \in H.$$

An important observation is that one can

(i) fix a non-zero bound for  $|\eta|$ , but (ii) make  $|\eta'|$  “as large as one wants”.

Intuition: a function that **oscillates rapidly** such as  $\sin \frac{x}{\gamma}$  with  $\gamma \ll 1$  might do!

This is actually the case (to be shown soon). Thus, one can choose  $\eta$  so that

“the sign of  $\eta'^2 f_{y'y'}$  dominates the sign of the integrand of  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$ ”;

in particular, to have  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq 0$  for ALL  $\eta$ , it is necessary that  $f_{y'y'} \geq 0$ .

Theorem 10.3.1 (Legendre) If  $y = y(x)$  is a local minimum of  $J$  in  $S$ , then

$$f_{y'y'}(x, y(x), y'(x)) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in [x_0, x_1].$$

Proof. Prove by contradiction. Suppose, on the contrary, that  $f_{y'y'}(c) = p < 0$  at some  $c \in [x_0, x_1]$ . For simplicity, we assume  $c \in (x_0, x_1)$ . By continuity, there is an  $\gamma > 0$  so that  $[c - \gamma, c + \gamma] \subset [x_0, x_1]$  and  $f_{y'y'} < p/2$  for all  $x \in (c - \gamma, c + \gamma)$ .

Let  $k > 2$  be an integer and choose  $\eta$  as

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} \sin^{2k} \frac{\pi(x-c)}{\gamma}, & \text{if } x \in [c - \gamma, c + \gamma] \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin [c - \gamma, c + \gamma]. \end{cases}$$

One then has

$$\eta'(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2k\pi}{\gamma} \sin^{2k-1} \frac{\pi(x-c)}{\gamma} \cos \frac{\pi(x-c)}{\gamma}, & \text{if } x \in [c - \gamma, c + \gamma] \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin [c - \gamma, c + \gamma]. \end{cases}$$

[Note: the specific choice of the argument  $\frac{\pi(x-c)}{\gamma}$  for the sine function is to make sure that  $\eta(c - \gamma) = \eta(c + \gamma) = 0$  and  $2k \geq 4$  insures  $\eta'(c \pm \gamma) = \eta''(c \pm \gamma) = 0$ .]

Now, since  $f_{y'y'} < p/2 < 0$  for  $x \in (c - \gamma, c + \gamma)$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{x_0}^{x_1} \eta'^2 f_{y'y'} dx &= \int_{c-\gamma}^{c+\gamma} \eta'^2 f_{y'y'} dx \\
&\leq \frac{p}{2} \frac{4k^2 \pi^2}{\gamma^2} \int_{c-\gamma}^{c+\gamma} \sin^{4k-2} \frac{\pi(x-c)}{\gamma} \cos^2 \frac{\pi(x-c)}{\gamma} dx \\
&= \frac{p}{2} \frac{4k^2 \pi^2}{\gamma^2} \frac{\gamma}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^{4k-2} u \cos^2 u du = 2k^2 L_0 \pi \frac{p}{\gamma},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $L_0 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin^{4k-2} u \cos^2 u du > 0$  is a fixed constant.

Note that the other term in the integrand of  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  is bounded independent of  $\gamma > 0$ . Thus, if we take  $\gamma > 0$  small enough,

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_1} \eta'^2 f_{y'y'} dx \leq 2k^2 L_0 \pi \frac{p}{\gamma} < 0$$

can be as negative as one wants; in particular, one can have  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y) < 0$ . This is a contradiction. We thus complete the proof.

Example 1. Consider the functional

$$J(y) = \int_{-1}^1 x \sqrt{1 + y'^2} dx$$

with  $y(-1) = y(1) = 1$ .

(It can be checked that  $y(x) = 1$  is the only extremal.)

Now, one calculates that

$$f_{y'y'}(x, y(x), y'(x)) = \frac{x}{(1 + y'^2)^{3/2}},$$

which **changes signs** for  $x \in [-1, 1]$ .

By Legendre's Theorem, this problem has no local minima nor local maxima.

Example 2. Consider the functional from the previous section

$$J(y) = \int_0^l (y'^2 - y^2) dx$$

for  $l > 0$  with  $y(0) = y(l) = 0$ .

It follows from  $f = y'^2 - y^2$  that  $f_{y'y'} = 2 > 0$  so the Legendre necessary condition for a local minimum is met.

(i)  $J$  cannot have a local maximum, which would require  $f_{y'y'} \leq 0$ ;

(ii) Although the Legendre necessary condition for local minima is met but we already knew from the example in last section that  $J$  has a local minimum if  $l \leq \pi$  but has NO minima if  $l > \pi$ .

Remark. If Legendre necessary condition for a local minimum is not met, then the extremal is not a local minimum.

If the necessary condition is met, then one cannot claim the extremal is a local minimum yet – more work is needed.



## §10.4. The Jacobi necessary condition

A quick summary for the previous discussion for local minima/maxima:

The starting point is the expansion

$$J(y + \varepsilon\eta) = J(y) + \varepsilon\delta J(\eta, y) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!}\delta^2 J(\eta, y) + O(\varepsilon^3),$$

where  $\delta J(\eta, y)$  and  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  are the 1st and 2nd variation of  $J$  at  $y = y(x)$  along the direction  $\eta = \eta(x)$ , respectively.

If  $y = y(x)$  is an extremal, then  $\delta J(\eta, y) = 0$  for all  $\eta$ , and hence,

$$(*) \quad J(y + \varepsilon\eta) - J(y) = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!} (\delta^2 J(\eta, y) + O(\varepsilon)).$$

In particular, if  $y = y(x)$  is a local minimum, then  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq 0$  for all  $\eta$ .

The Legendre necessary condition is simpler but relies on that

a bounded function that oscillates rapidly can have “large” derivatives.

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^2 J(\eta, y) &= \int_{x_0}^{x_1} (f_{yy}\eta^2 + 2f_{yy'}\eta\eta' + f_{y'y'}\eta'^2) dx \\ &= \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left( \eta^2 \left( f_{yy} - \frac{d}{dx} f_{yy'} \right) + f_{y'y'}\eta'^2 \right) dx.\end{aligned}$$

The Legendre necessary condition says one can choose  $\eta$  so that  $f_{y'y'}\eta'^2$  “dominates” the integrand so long as  $f_{y'y'} \neq 0$ . In particular,

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \eta \implies f_{y'y'} \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in [x_0, x_1].$$

Thus, a necessary condition for a local minimum is that  $f_{y'y'} \geq 0$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ .

It is clear that  $f_{y'y'} \geq 0$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$  does not imply  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq 0$  for all  $\eta$ .

There was an excellent question from the class during the last lecture:

Can  $|\eta|$  be made as large as we want while keeping  $|\eta'|$  bounded?

The answer is NO since, for any  $x$ , there is  $x_c \in [x_0, x]$  such that

$$\eta(x) - \eta(x_0) = \eta'(x_c)(x - x_0), \text{ and hence, } |\eta(x)| \leq |\eta'(x_c)|(x_1 - x_0).$$

What would happen if the answer were YES? One would get that

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \eta \implies f_{yy} - \frac{d}{dx} f_{yy'} \geq 0 \text{ for } x \in [x_0, x_1], \text{ and hence,}$$

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \eta \iff f_{y'y'} \geq 0 \text{ and } f_{yy} - \frac{d}{dx} f_{yy'} \geq 0 \text{ for } x \in [x_0, x_1].$$

“Too bad” the answer to that question is NO!!! That means we have to work harder to find SOMETHING so that, more or less,

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \eta \iff f_{y'y'} \geq 0 \text{ for } x \in [x_0, x_1] + \text{SOMETHING.}$$

Luckily Jacobi did this for us brilliantly, and we will turn to it now.

For an extremal  $y = y(x)$  of  $J$ , for easy of notation, we denote

$$p(x) = f_{y'y'}(x, y(x), y'(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad q(x) = f_{yy} - \frac{d}{dx}f_{yy'}.$$

Then

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left( p(x)\eta'^2 + q(x)\eta^2 \right) dx.$$

• Jacobi's brilliant idea starts from the observation that, for ANY smooth function  $w = w(x)$ , one has

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_1} (w\eta^2)' dx = 0.$$

Thus, noticing that  $(w\eta^2)' = 2w\eta\eta' + w'\eta^2$ ,

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left( p\eta'^2 + 2w\eta\eta' + (w' + q)\eta^2 \right) dx.$$

For  $y = y(x)$  to be a minimum, we know  $p(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ . Let's assume that the strong Legendre necessary condition; that is,  $p(x) > 0$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ .

Then, the integrand above can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} p\eta'^2 + 2w\eta\eta' + (w' + q)\eta^2 &= p\left(\eta'^2 + 2\frac{w}{p}\eta\eta' + \frac{w^2}{p^2}\eta^2\right) + \left(w' + q - \frac{w^2}{p}\right)\eta^2 \\ &= p\left(\eta' + \frac{w}{p}\eta\right)^2 + \left(w' + q - \frac{w^2}{p}\right)\eta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Another observation: If one can find a function  $w = w(x)$  so that

$$(R): \quad w' + q(x) - \frac{w^2}{p(x)} = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [x_0, x_1],$$

$$\text{then } \delta^2 J(\eta, y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} p(x) \left(\eta' + \frac{w}{p}\eta\right)^2 dx \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } \eta \text{ since } p(x) > 0.$$

Furthermore, in this case,

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) = 0 \iff \eta' + \frac{w}{p}\eta = 0 \iff \eta(x) = 0.$$

The latter follows from uniqueness of solutions of initial value problem and  $\eta(x_0) = 0$ .

Definition. The 2nd variation  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  is called positive definite if  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y) > 0$  for  $\eta \neq 0$  and  $\eta \in H$ .

Immediately, if  $p(x) = f_{y'y'} > 0$  and the ODE (R) has a solution  $w = w(x)$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ , then  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  is positive definite.

Intuitively, if  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  is positive definite, then  $y = y(x)$  is a local minimum. We know this is the case in calculus (for finite-dimensional problem). So the ODE (R) is the key. The equation is called a Riccati Equation. It is a 1st order but **nonlinear** so one does not know if it has a solution defined for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ .

- A standard technique for study of the Riccati Equation (R) is to convert this nonlinear 1st order ODE to a 2nd order linear system as follows.

Introduce  $u = u(x)$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$  through

$$w(x) = -\frac{p(x)u'(x)}{u(x)} \quad \text{or} \quad u(x) = u_0 e^{-\int_{x_0}^x \frac{w(z)}{p(z)} dz} \quad \text{for some } u_0.$$

[The minus sign might be missed in the book.]

Then the nonlinear 1st order Riccati equation

$$(R) : \quad w' + q(x) - \frac{w^2}{p(x)} = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [x_0, x_1]$$

is transformed to the 2nd order linear ODE (called the Jacobi Accessory Equation)

$$(J) : \quad (p(x)u')' - q(x)u = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [x_0, x_1].$$

We do know that any solution of the linear ODE is always defined for all  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$  as long as  $p(x) > 0$  and  $q(x)$  are continuous for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ .

But, to transform back to  $w(x)$  from  $u(x)$ , one sees that  $u(x)$  cannot be zero for any  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ . We do not know if that is the case for solutions of equation (J) in general.

The good news is we can have a closer look at this issue since  $u$  satisfies a linear ODE, and we will do now.

Recall the 2nd order linear equation (J) always has a general solution of the form

$$u_c(x) = c_1 u_1(x) + c_2 u_2(x),$$

where  $u_1(x)$  and  $u_2(x)$  are linearly independent solutions of (J).

To state the result on whether or not there is a nowhere vanishing solution  $u(x)$  of (J), an important concept is needed.

We say  $x^* \neq x_0$  is a **conjugate point to  $x_0$**  if the equation (J) has a non-trivial solution  $u(x)$  such that  $u(x_0) = u(x^*) = 0$ .

The key result is

**Theorem.** Suppose  $p(x) > 0$  and there are no conjugate points to  $x_0$  in  $(x_0, x_1]$ . Then the Jacobi Accessory Equation (J) has a solution  $u = u(x)$  such that  $u(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ .

As a consequence, in this case, the Riccati equation will have a solution  $w(x)$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ , and hence,  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  is positive definite.



Example 1. Let  $h(x) > 0$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ . Consider

$$J(y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} h(x) y'^2 dx$$

with fixed end points.

For any extremal  $y = y(x)$ , one finds that

$$p(x) = f_{y'y'} = 2h(x) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad q(x) = f_{yy} - \frac{d}{dx} f_{yy'} = 0.$$

Thus, the Jacobi Accessory Equation is  $(2h(x)u')' = 0$ , which has a general solution

$$u_c(x) = c_1 \int_{x_0}^x h^{-1}(z) dz + c_2.$$

For conjugate points to  $x_0$ , we are looking for nonzero solutions  $u(x)$  with  $u(x_0) = 0$ . They are given by  $c_0 = 0$  or  $u(x) = c_1 \int_{x_0}^x h^{-1}(z) dz$  with  $c_1 \neq 0$ . But

such a function  $u(x)$  does not vanish if  $x \neq x_0$ . Thus there are no conjugate points to  $x_0$  in  $(x_0, x_1]$ . By the theorem, we claim that  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  is positive definite.

Example 2. Consider again the functional

$$J(y) = \int_0^l (y'^2 - y^2) dx$$

for  $l > 0$  with  $y(0) = y(l) = 0$ .

It follows that  $p(x) = f_{y'y'} = 2 > 0$  and  $q(x) = f_{yy} - \frac{d}{dx} f_{yy'} = -2$ . The Jacobi Accessory Equation is then  $(pu')' - q(x)u = 0$  or  $u'' + u = 0$ , which has a general solution

$$u_c(x) = c_1 \sin x + c_2 \cos x.$$

Nonzero solutions that vanish at  $x_0 = 0$  are  $u(x) = c_1 \sin x$  with  $c_1 \neq 0$ . Note that  $u(x) = 0$  at  $x = k\pi$  for any integer  $k$ . Thus, if  $l < \pi$ , then there are no conjugate points to  $x_0 = 0$  in  $[x_0, x_1] = [0, l]$ , and hence, for any extremal of  $J$ , the second variation is positive definite; if  $l > \pi$ , then  $\pi \in (0, l]$  is a conjugate point to  $x_0 = 0$ , which is consistent to the known fact that the second variation is not positive definite.

Again a quick summary of previous discussions for local minima/maxima:

Given an extremal  $y = y(x) \in S$ , for any  $\eta = \eta(x) \in H$  and small  $\varepsilon$ , one has

$$(*) \quad J(y + \varepsilon\eta) - J(y) = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!} [\delta^2 J(\eta, y) + O(\varepsilon)] .$$

Clear: If  $y = y(x)$  is a local minimum, then  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq 0$  for all  $\eta$ .

Guess: If  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y) > 0$  for all  $\eta \neq 0$ , then  $y = y(x)$  is a local minimum.

$$\text{Recall: } \delta^2 J(\eta, y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left( \eta^2 \left( f_{yy} - \frac{d}{dx} f_{yy'} \right) + f_{y'y'} \eta'^2 \right) dx .$$

$$\text{Legendre: } \delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \eta \implies f_{y'y'} \geq 0 \text{ for } x \in [x_0, x_1] .$$

Identify conditions, in addition to  $f_{y'y'} > 0$ , that imply  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y) > 0$  for  $\eta \neq 0$ .

Jacobi found, for any  $w = w(x)$ , one always has

$$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left[ p \left( \eta' + \frac{w}{p} \eta \right)^2 + \left( w' + q - \frac{w^2}{p} \right) \eta^2 \right] dx,$$

and hence, if  $w = w(x)$  satisfies the nonlinear 1st order Riccati equation

$$(R) : \quad w' + q(x) - \frac{w^2}{p(x)} = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [x_0, x_1],$$

then  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  is positive definite.

With  $w(x) = -\frac{p(x)u'(x)}{u(x)}$ , (R) becomes the Jacobi Accessory Equation

$$(J) : \quad (p(x)u')' - q(x)u = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in [x_0, x_1].$$

If  $p(x) > 0$  and there is no conjugate point to  $x_0$  in  $(x_0, x_1]$ , then (J) has solutions with  $u(x) \neq 0$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$  so (R) has a solution  $w = w(x)$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ , and hence,  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  is positive definite.

Finally we have the following result that would yield Jacobi Necessary condition as a corollary.

Theorem. Let  $f$  be a smooth function and let  $y = y(x)$  be a smooth extremal for the functional

$$J(y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} f(x, y, y') dx$$

with fixed end points such that  $p(x) = f_{y'y'} > 0$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ .

- I. If  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  is positive definite, then there is no conjugate point to  $x_0$  in  $(x_0, x_1]$ .
- II. If  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq 0$  for all  $\eta \in H$ , then there is no conjugate point to  $x_0$  in  $(x_0, x_1)$ .

Remark. Note that  $\delta^2 J(0, y) = 0$ .

Recall:  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  is positive definite if  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y) > 0$  for all  $\eta \neq 0 \in H$ .

The statement that “ $\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq 0$  for all  $\eta \in H$ ” allows the possibility that “ $\delta^2 J(\eta, y) = 0$  for some  $\eta \neq 0 \in H$ ”.

## Proof of Statement I.

Step 1. First of all, we show that  $x = x_1$  cannot be conjugate to  $x_0$ . Suppose, on the contrary,  $x_1$  is conjugate to  $x_0$ . Then there is a function  $u_* = u_*(x) \neq 0$  with  $u_*(x_0) = u_*(x_1) = 0$  satisfies (J); that is,

$$(p(x)u_*')' - q(x)u_* = 0.$$

Now, multiply above by  $u_*$  and integrate to get, with an application of integral by parts,

$$p(x)u_*'(x)u_*(x)|_{x_0}^{x_1} - \int_{x_0}^{x_1} p(x)u_*'^2 dx - \int_{x_0}^{x_1} q(x)u_*^2 dx = 0$$

or,  $\delta^2 J(u_*, y) = 0$  for  $u_* \neq 0 \in H$ . This contradicts the assumption of I.

Step 2. To show there is no conjugate points to  $x_0$  in  $(x_0, x_1)$ , one introduces, for any  $\mu \in [0, 1]$ , a new functional in  $\eta$ :

$$K_\mu(\eta) = \mu \delta^2 J(\eta, y) + (1 - \mu)P(\eta),$$

where

$$P(\eta) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \eta'^2 dx.$$

It is easy to see that the functional  $P$  has no conjugate points in  $(x_0, x_1]$  and also it is easy to see that, for any  $\mu \in [0, 1]$ ,  $K_\mu(\eta)$  is positive definite.

The Jacobi Accessory Equation associated to  $K_\mu$  is

$$(J)_\mu : [(\mu p(x) + 1 - \mu)u']' - \mu q(x)u = 0.$$

We know that  $\mu p(x) + 1 - \mu > 0$  for  $\mu \in [0, 1]$  since  $p > 0$  (by assumption). Therefore the solution  $u(x; \mu)$  with  $u(x_0; \mu) = 0$  and  $u'(x_0; \mu) = 1$  depends on  $\mu$  continuously for  $x \in (x_0, x_1]$ .

For  $\mu = 0$ ,  $(J)_0$  is  $u'' = 0$ , and hence  $u(x; 0) = x - x_0$ , which has no conjugate points in  $(x_0, x_1)$ .

Suppose, on the contrary, for  $\mu = 1$ , there is a conjugate point  $x^* \in (x_0, x_1]$ , that is,  $u(x^*, 1) = 0$ . One can conclude that there is  $\mu_0 \in (0, 1)$  so that the corresponding solution  $u(x; \mu_0)$  vanishes at  $x = x_1$ ; that is,  $(J)_{\mu_0}$  has a nonzero solution  $u(x; \mu_0)$  with  $u(x_0; \mu_0) = u(x_1; \mu_0) = 0$  (particularly,  $u(x; \mu_0) \neq 0 \in H$ ).

Multiply  $u(x; \mu_0)$  on  $(J)_{\mu_0}$  and integrate over  $[x_0, x_1]$  to get

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left( (\mu_0 p(x) + 1 - \mu_0) u'^2 + \mu_0 q(x) u^2 \right) dx = 0$$

or  $K_{\mu_0}(\eta) = \mu_0 \delta^2 J(\eta, y) + (1 - \mu_0) P(\eta) = 0$  with  $\eta(x) = u(x; \mu_0) \neq 0$  and  $\eta \in H$ . This contradicts to that  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y) > 0$  and  $P(\eta) > 0$  for  $\eta \neq 0 \in H$ .

Proof of Statement II. The same procedure in Step 2 works for this case. But Step 1 will not so. Thus one can only conclude that there is no conjugate points in  $(x_0, x_1)$ .

Remark. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) There is no conjugate point to  $x_0$  in  $(x_0, x_1]$ .
- (ii) The solution  $u = u(x)$  of the initial value problem

$$(p(x)u')' - q(x)u = 0, \quad u(x_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u'(x_0) = 1$$

has no zero in  $(x_0, x_1]$ .



Recall that, If  $y = y(x)$  is a local minimum, then  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq 0$  for all  $\eta \in H$ . We now have the Jacobi Necessary Condition as a consequence of Statement II in the previous theorem.

Corollary. (Jacobi Necessary Condition) Let  $f$  be a smooth function and let  $y = y(x)$  be a smooth extremal for the functional

$$J(y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} f(x, y, y') dx$$

with fixed end points such that  $p(x) = f_{y'y'} > 0$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ . If  $y = y(x)$  is a local minimum, then there is no conjugate point to  $x_0$  in  $(x_0, x_1)$ .

It turns out, under the strong Legendre condition  $p(x) > 0$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ ,

if there is no conjugate point to  $x_0$  in  $(x_0, x_1]$ , then  $y = y(x)$  is a local minimum.

Note that the difference in the statements: one is the open interval  $(x_0, x_1)$  and the other is the half-closed interval  $(x_0, x_1]$ .

## §10.5. A sufficient condition

A summary of previous discussions for local minima/maxima:

Given an extremal  $y = y(x) \in S$ , for any  $\eta = \eta(x) \in H$  and small  $\varepsilon$ , one has

$$(*) \quad J(y + \varepsilon\eta) - J(y) = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!} [\delta^2 J(\eta, y) + O(\varepsilon)] .$$

Suppose  $p(x) = f_{y'y'} > 0$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ . Then,

$\delta^2 J(\eta, y) \geq 0$  for all  $\eta \in H \implies$  No conjugate points to  $x_0$  in  $(x_0, x_1)$ .

No conjugate points to  $x_0$  in  $(x_0, x_1] \iff \delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  is positive definite.

Guess: If  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  is positive definite, then  $y = y(x)$  is a local minimum.

This is indeed correct; that is, one has a sufficient condition for local minima,

Theorem. Let  $f$  be a smooth function and let  $y = y(x)$  be a smooth extremal for the functional

$$J(y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} f(x, y, y') dx$$

with fixed end points such that  $p(x) = f_{y'y'} > 0$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ . If there is no conjugate point to  $x_0$  in  $(x_0, x_1]$  (or equivalently,  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  is positive definite), then  $y = y(x)$  is a local minimum.

We will skip the proof but want to emphasize a key point.

Recall that given an extremal  $y = y(x) \in S$ , for any  $\eta = \eta(x) \in H$  and small  $\varepsilon$ , one has

$$(*) \quad J(y + \varepsilon\eta) - J(y) = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2!} [\delta^2 J(\eta, y) + O(\varepsilon)].$$

To justify the claim, one needs to show that the positive definite  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  can control the term  $O(\varepsilon)$  inside the bracket. This is not a trivial task since, for  $\eta = 0$ ,  $\delta^2 J(0, y) = 0$ , and hence,  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$  can be made as small as one wants by choosing  $\eta$  appropriately. The point is that  $O(\varepsilon)$  also depends on  $\eta$ , which needs Taylor expansion up to  $O(\varepsilon^3)$  (see Exercise #2 in Section 10.2), and CAN be controlled by  $\delta^2 J(\eta, y)$ .

Example 1. Consider

$$J(y) = \int_1^2 x^3 y'^2 dx, \quad y(1) = 0, \quad y(2) = 3.$$

The problem has a unique extremal given by

$$y(x) = 4 - \frac{4}{x^2}.$$

Note that  $p(x) = f_{y'y'} = 2x^3 > 0$  for  $x \in [1, 2]$  and  $q(x) = 0$ .

The Jacobi equation is  $(2x^3 u')' = 0$ . The solution with  $u(1) = 0$  and  $u'(1) = 1$  is  $u(x) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2x^2}$ , which has no zero in  $(1, 2]$ . Therefore, the extremal  $y = y(x)$  is a local minimum.

Example 2. Consider

$$J(y) = \int_0^\pi (y \sin x - y'^2 + 2yy') dx \text{ with fixed end points.}$$

One can check that a general solution of the EL is

$$y(x) = c_1 x + c_2 + \frac{1}{2} \sin x.$$

Note that  $p(x) = -2 < 0$  for  $x \in [0, \pi]$  (suggesting local maxima) and  $q(x) = 0$ .

The solution of the IVP

$$(-2u')' = 0 \text{ with } u(0) = 0 \text{ and } u'(0) = 1$$

is  $u(x) = x$ , which is nonzero for  $x \in (0, \pi]$ . Thus, the extremal is a local maximum.

## §10.6. More on conjugate points

### 1. From a general solution of EL to a general solution of Jacobi equation.

Consider

$$J(y) = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} f(x, y, y') dx \quad \text{with fixed end points.}$$

Theorem. Suppose  $y = y(x; c_1, c_2)$  is a general solution of the EL; that is, for any  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dx} f_{y'}(x, y(x; c_1, c_2), y'(x; c_1, c_2)) - f_y(x, y(x; c_1, c_2), y'(x; c_1, c_2)) = 0.$$

Then,

$$u_1(x) = \frac{\partial y}{\partial c_1}(x; c_1, c_2) \quad \text{and} \quad u_2(x) = \frac{\partial y}{\partial c_2}(x; c_1, c_2)$$

are solutions of the Jacobi Accessory Equation

$$(p(x)u')' - q(x)u = 0.$$

Proof. Take the partial derivative with respect to  $c_1$  from the EL equation to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial c_1} \left[ \frac{d}{dx} f_{y'}(x, y(x; c_1, c_2), y'(x; c_1, c_2)) - f_y(x, y(x; c_1, c_2), y'(x; c_1, c_2)) \right] \\
&= \frac{d}{dx} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial c_1} f_{y'}(x, y(x; c_1, c_2), y'(x; c_1, c_2)) \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial c_1} f_y(x, y(x; c_1, c_2), y'(x; c_1, c_2)) \\
&= \frac{d}{dx} \left[ f_{y'y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial c_1} + f_{y'y'} \frac{\partial y'}{\partial c_1} \right] - \left[ f_{yy} \frac{\partial y}{\partial c_1} + f_{yy'} \frac{\partial y'}{\partial c_1} \right] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

With  $u_1 = \partial_{c_1} y$ , one has  $u'_1 = \partial_{c_1} y'$ , and hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{d}{dx} [\textcolor{red}{f}_{y'y} u_1 + \textcolor{blue}{f}_{y'y'} u'_1] - [f_{yy} u_1 + f_{yy'} u'_1] \\
&= \frac{\textcolor{red}{d}}{\textcolor{red}{dx}} \textcolor{red}{f}_{yy'} u_1 + \textcolor{red}{f}_{yy'} u'_1 + (\textcolor{blue}{f}_{y'y'} u'_1)' - f_{yy} u_1 - f_{yy'} u'_1 \\
&= (p(x) u'_1)' - q(x) u_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $u_1$  is a solution of the Jacobi Accessory Equation. Similarly, so is  $u_2$ .

Example 1. Consider

$$J(y) = \int_0^\pi (y \sin x - y'^2 + 2yy') dx \text{ with fixed end points.}$$

One can check that a general solution of the EL is

$$y(x) = c_1 x + c_2 + \frac{1}{2} \sin x.$$

Thus,  $u_1(x) = y_{c_1} = x$  and  $u_2(x) = y_{c_2} = 1$  are two solutions of the Jacobi equation, and hence, a general solution is

$$u(x) = Au_1(x) + Bu_2(x) = Ax + B \text{ with } u'(x) = A.$$

The solution with the initial values  $u(0) = 0$  and  $u'(0) = 1$  is  $u(x) = x$  (as was shown in the previous part). Therefore, there is no conjugate point to  $x = 0$  in  $[0, \pi]$ . So the extremal is **a local maximum since  $p(x) = -2 < 0$ .**



Example 2. Consider  $J(y) = \int_0^l (y'^2 - y^2) dx$  with  $y(0) = y(l) = 0$ .

The EL equation is  $y'' + y = 0$ , which has a general solution

$$y(x) = c_1 \cos x + c_2 \sin x.$$

Thus,  $u_1(x) = \cos x$  and  $u_2(x) = \sin x$  are two solutions of the Jacobi Accessory Equation, and hence, a general solution is

$$u(x) = Au_1(x) + Bu_2(x) = A \cos x + B \sin x \quad \text{with} \quad u'(x) = -A \sin x + B \cos x.$$

The initial values  $u(0) = 0$  and  $u'(0) = 1$  yield  $A = 0$  and  $B = 1$ . So the corresponding solution is  $u(x) = \sin x$ , which has zeros  $x = k\pi$ . In particular,

if  $l < \pi$ , then there is no conjugate point to  $x = 0$  in  $(0, l]$ , and hence, the extremal is a local minimum;

if  $l > \pi$ , then  $x = \pi \in (0, l)$  is a conjugate to  $x = 0$ , and hence, the extremal is not a local minimum.

## 2. No $x$ in $f$ .

Recall that  $H(y, y') = y' f_{y'} - f$  is a 1st integral for the EL of an extremal.

Theorem. If there is no  $x$  in  $f$  and  $u = u(x)$  with  $u(x_0) = 0$  and  $u'(x_0) = 1$  is the principal solution of the Jacobi equation associated to an extremal  $y = y(x)$ . Then

$$H_{y'} u' + H_y u = H_{y'}(x_0, y(x_0), y'(x_0)),$$

which is a first order linear ODE.

Proof. It suffices to show that  $H_{y'} u' + H_y u$  is a constant. Note that  $H_{y'} = y' f_{y'y'}$  and  $H_y = y' f_{yy'} - f_y$ . So  $H_{y'} u' + H_y u = y' f_{y'y'} u' + (y' f_{yy'} - f_y) u$ .

Take the derivative with respect to  $x$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} & y' (f_{y'y'} u')' + y'' f_{y'y'} u' - y' \left( f_{yy} - \frac{d}{dx} f_{yy'} \right) u + (y' f_{yy'} - f_y) u' \\ &= y' \left( (p u')' - q(x) u \right) + (y'' f_{y'y'} + y' f_{yy'} - f_y) u'. \end{aligned}$$

Note  $(p u')' - q(x) u = 0$  is Jacobi equation and  $y'' f_{y'y'} + y' f_{yy'} - f_y = 0$  is EL.